

P
F IX 4

Previous References

File 69-28463

D June '29

Inter References

1930-31
(Reul)

File No 69-28

Serial Nos 69, 70, 71, 72,
73 & 74

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos 6

Part B for May 1930

SUBJECT

Payment of Compensation to the properties acquired near
the Zoological Gardens in Block No 40, Integrated
Drainage area, Hyderabad

-74 S D No 28463 18 19 5-30 to the Chairman, Hyderabad,
D P & Compt^r

Functions the ~~estimated~~ additional Compa-
nsation of Rs 2,107/- to the parties concerned

File No 69

of 1928

Serial
No

69

From The Clinton and New York ~~Co~~ - Sup
Trust Brothers

760 897, 244, 211, 30

Reference to G. 10 No. 174

(a). - ml 69-28-63 8th 6 6.29. 1963

The Acquisition of certain property near the Zoological Gardens in Block No 40 Village and Drainage Area, Mysore City, states that ^{2 annas} ~~1 anna~~ per square yard has been awarded instead of Rs 1/2 per sq yard in the case of ten properties and solicits permission to revise the said awards as the undervaluation would otherwise lead to hardship, litigation and delay.

1) The provision for this scheme in the budget of the Trust Board was Rs 8050 during 1928-29 & if the present revision of the Award is sanctioned, the cost of this scheme will be enhanced. The Chairman has not specified the amount involved in this case and how it will be met from the Budget part of 1929-30.

WM '66-GPB-88 000-26-7-25

3⁷⁻²⁹ 2h 20m

8 Sept - aft

为

27

Serial
No

70

To The Chairman, Mysore District Board,

No. 25862 dated 4.2.30
Call for information about
excess expenditure involved in
the source from which the ex-
cess will be met during the
current year.

GEN. SECRETARY
5 FEB 1930
L.S. BRANCH

71

From Do - No 1150.8/17.3.30

States that the extra amount
involved in the case of the 16
properties will be Rs 2107 and
in the case of remaining 21
properties Rs 3035 and that
sanction of Rs 5000 may
be obtained for a total
expenditure of Rs 12610-15-0
the acquisition as against
Rs 8050 sanctioned in G.O.
No. 18408-9 - ml 69-28-6382

20/2/30

6) Draft G.O. sanction
the proposal is submitted
approval

21/3/30

The P.M. Branch may be
consulted with regard to

Serial
No

(10) When once an award is made under sec 11 of the Land Acquisition Regulation and filed in the Deputy Comr's office, under sec 12, it becomes final and conclusive between the D C and the persons interested, subject to the provisions in Part III of the Regulation. The Deputy Comr cannot revise the award made and the party interested has to press for a reference to Court under sec 18 and it is the Court that has the jurisdiction to enhance the compensation awarded.

(11) If a reference is made to the Court and the Land Acquisition Officer ~~himself~~ who will be the opponent therein represents to the Court the mistake made in the award and moves the Court to grant an enhanced compensation, any hardship, litigation and delay which may otherwise be caused will be to a great extent avoided.

mf

25-3-30

(12) There is no provision in the L A Regn for making an award over made, even when such award is to the advantage of the party to be compensated.

4619

4539
5767

(4)

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No 69 of 1928

App F III-2]

Serial
No

perhaps the owners of the properties acquired
in the present case will accept the enhanced
rate of compensation proposed - But, even then the
acquisition would be liable to be challenged
by other claimants, as ^{they} ^{by private persons and not} ~~not~~ having made an
acquiescence with the Regulation and therefore
not working "absolutely in the best, free from
all incumbrances" the properties acquired
para 2 of the 1924 Act in consequence to be suitably revised

(L-R)

29.13

13) I agree

14) The Regt does not provide
for revision of the rates once
passed. It is the Govt. which
has the power

15) The Regt. para 2 of the pro-
posed 1924 Act is to be in force.

(L 59 Branch)

22.14

17) Draft subd: as directed

23/4/30

18) A revised draft an
acquiescence in the Regt
is submitted

19) (under)

23/4

Approved by Mr. J. C. ...
23/4

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
30 APR. 1930
8255

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 066—GPB—30 000—26-7-29

L.S.C. (M)

20/2/30 member
21/2/30

22/4
23/4

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No 69

of 1928

App F III-2]

Serial
No

72

To The Chairman, Mysore City
Improvement Trust, Board
No: L7910 dated 25-30

With reference to ser 71, state
that there is no provision in the
Regulation for reviewing an award
once made even though such
a review is to the advantage
of the party to be compensated
& the owners of the properties
may accept the enhanced rate
of compensation

73

From Do - No 1414 of 10-5-30

States that the parties concerned
are very poor people and it will
cause great hardships to them
if they are asked to go to court
for enhancement of compensation
& the period of application has
expired & requests to view the
matter sympathetically & order
the payment of an additional
compensation of Rs 2107, as in the
case of persons whose houses were
acquired for the Ayurvedic College
by O-No 34-6 - Mys 1-28-2 of 1928

Serial
No

23) File leadw to S O no 34-6-
muz 1-28-2 of 9.7.28 relative
to the grant of additional compensation
to the owners of property acquired
for the Ayurvedic College is not
available, since it is before the
Legal Remembrance since 9/130
(Subd from the Financial
Branch ~~for~~ ^{with} diary no 2600 of 29)

24) The paper under disposal
explains clearly the facts of the
Case. Subd for orders

~~14/5/30~~ 25) The chairman requests
a remuneration of the
orders passed and states
that a sum of Rs 2107 may
be paid as additional com-
pensation in view of the fact
that the owners of the properties
are poor people. He re-
quests that early orders may
be passed as the houses have
to be removed before the
Burmah festivities. The order
passed is based on the opinion
of the Law Secy

14/5/30 26) for Secy
27) The proposal of the Burd
may be accepted
28) The Secy
29) Secy
30) Secy
16/5/30 16/5/30

14/5/30
8685
15/5/30
Note-Contn Sheet

25535

App F III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat

n/c File No 69

of 1928

Serial
No

31) Draft sub for aaron
 41/5
 17/5 32) 11/5 28
 17/5

4120
 17/5

33) 19/5730 -

34) 15/5

74 To The Chairman Mysore City
 . Camp Koller to Government
 no - L 8430-1 dated the 19th May '33
 Suggests the payment of
 a sum of Rs 2107/- way of adoc
 Compensation to the parties of
 the ^{or the} properties Acquired near the
 Zoological Gardens in Block
 no 40 Iffigund Damage Area
 Mysore City

Office of the Chairman
City Improvement Trust Board Mysore

Dated 20th January 1930

FROM

T. G. Rama Iyer Esquire B A
~~CH. RAMSWAMI, Esq., B.A., C.E.~~

Chairman City Improvement Trust Board

Mysore

GEN & R¹
3796
24 JAN 1930
L S G BRANCH

Inc 69-28
sub 69

To
CHIEF SECRETARY
23 JAN 1930

The Chief Secretary

to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of
Mysore

TV
23/1/30
- SIR

I have the honour to state that 31 properties near the Zoological gardens in Block No 40 Ittegegud drainage, Mysore City were notified for acquisition in Government order No 8409(a) M1 69-2/8-63 dated 6th June 1929. While preparing estimates for these properties the Engineering section inadvertently valued the land at the rate of annas four per square yard and awards were accordingly passed in respect of the marginally noted 10 cases

1	(4)	1261	6	(25)	1276
2	(1)	1264 (a)	7	(23)	1278
3	(6)	1262	8	(26)	1275
4	(6)	1264	9	(7)	1261
5	(22)	1279	10	(27)	1274

2 The owners represented that their lands were undervalued and requested for enhancement of

compensation awarded. From local enquiry and also from reference to the office Business Manual I find that the value of land in this locality is Re 1/- per square yard and that the rate of annas 4/- fixed by the Engineering Section is too low and causes hardship to these poor people. In the case of awards subsequent to these 10 the rate awarded is Re 1/- per square yard according to the Business Manual.

2 I request therefore that permission of Government may kindly be obtained to revise the said awards allowing a rate of Re 1/- for land instead of annas four as the undervaluation would otherwise lead to hardship, litigation and delay.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant,
Vidamahy
Chairman

Appendix F G-1
SUBJECT

2

Diary No of letter replied to 3796

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 192

Date of issue 1-2-1930

Signed by Mr T N S S.

List of enclosures

Copied by

Amr
11/1/30

Examined by

Section *ml*
File No 69-28
Serial No 70
No *L 5862*

To

*The Chairman
Mysore ag Impd Fund
Board.*

Sir,

*With reference to
your letter no 887 of
the 21st Jan 1930 soliciting
under the circumstances
explained, permission
to remove the awards
in the case of certain
properties acquired
near the Zoological garden
in Block no 40 Itteppad
Drainage sanctioned*

over

3
20 R
... Good notification no
L8409(a) - ml69-28-63 O
26 June 1929, I am directed
to request that you will be
so good as to furnish
information about the
excess expenditure involved
and the source from
which the extra cost
will be met, ~~in the current~~
during the current year
I have etc,

my
Chief Secy to Govt

(Dpl)

Lab
24/1/30

Office of the Chairman
City Improvement Trust Board Mysore

Dated 13th March 1930

GEN & REV SECT
4589
1917/10
LS 1 11

FROM

T G Rama Iyer Esquire B A

~~XXXXXX~~

Chairman City Improvement Trust Board

Mysore

2269-28-70

1150
Enc me
17 3 20
To
CHIEF
19 MAR 1930

The Chief Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Bangalore

SIR

TV
25/3/30

With reference to your letter No 5862/M1 69-28-70 dated the 4th February 1930 requesting to furnish information about the excess expenditure involved and the source from which the extra cost will be met I have the honour to state that the extra amount involved in the case of the ten properties referred to in this office letter No 897 dated the 21st January 1930 will be Rs 2 10/. The scheme of acquisition comprises 21 other properties also

2 As all the properties had to be urgently acquired during the current year only awards were passed in these 21 cases also assessing the value of land at the rate of Re 1 prescribed in the Manual for sale of house sites and compensation has also been paid in many cases accordingly

3 There was no justification for assessing the value of land at 4 annas a square yard for purposes of acquisition when the rate prescribed in the Manual for sale of sites was Re 1 per square yard in the very same locality. It is this arbitrary undervaluation of sites that led to the under-estimate of the cost of acquisition

4 A statement showing the cost of acquisition as originally under-estimated and the cost that has now to be paid is appended to this letter. The excess involved in revising the awards based on the under-estimate in the 10 cases referred to in this office letter

letter No 897 dated the 21st January 1930 to Government amounts to Rs 2 107 The excess involved in the remaining 21 cases awarded at the rate of Re 1 per square yard as prescribed in the Manual amounts to Rs 3 035

5 Both these items together involve a total expenditure of Rs 12 610-15-0 as against Rs 8 050 sanctioned in Government Order No L 8408-9/M1 69-28-63 dated the 26th June 1929 i e an excess of Rs 4 560-15-0

6 I request therefore that sanction of Government may kindly be accorded to a total expenditure of Rs 12 610- 15- 0 for the acquisition as against Rs 8 050 sanctioned in Government Order No L 8408-9/M1 69-28-63 dated the 26th June 1929

7 Though it was stated in the Acting Chairman's letter No 1543 dated the 20th May 1929 that provision was made for the entire expenditure of Rs 8 050 in the Budget the budget contained a provision of Rs 3 680^x only which was also an arbitrary figure It is therefore requested that sanction may kindly be accorded to meet the difference between the actuals of Rs 12 610 and the Budget provision of Rs 3 680 or Rs 8 930 by re-appropriation

The following sources are available for re-appropriation -

- (i) the difference between the budgetted opening balance of Rs 25 000 and the actual opening balance of Rs 31 969 as per accounts or Rs 6 969
- (ii) a sum of Rs 4 000 out of the provision of Rs 6 700 provided for acquisition in certain blocks of Fort and Krishnaraja Mohallas Vide item 4 (ii) of statement of details of expenditure appended

appended to the City Improvement Trust Board Budget
for 1920-1930

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

W. H. M. M. M.

Chairman

2

Mysore City Improvement Trust Board
List of houses to be acquired in Ittigegud in front of Zoo - "Removal of huts near Ittigegud Cattle Pound" -

Sl No	Plan Number	Door No	Name of owner	5		6		7		Remarks
				Rs	As	Rs	As	Rs	As	
1	2	3	4							8
1	1	1284	Jalagar Thimma	805	-	1 495	-	690	-	
2	do	-	Venkati daughter Thimma	207	-	356	3	149	3	
3	5	-	Rachy	195	8	592	4	396	12	
4	2	1283	Thammiah alias Ranparayalu	184	-	460	-	276	-	
5	2	1282	-do-	207	-	460	-	253	-	
6	3	1282	Perumanthiah	46	-	143	12	97	12	
7	4	1281	Haya/th Sab	252	-	495	4	243	4	
8	6	1262	Faridkhan	207	-	396	12	189	12	
9	7	1261	Lari	103	8	163	14	60	6	
10	7/1	1260	Karish	103	8	172	8	69	-	
11	6	1259	Basavah	425	8	575	-	149	8	
12	9	1258	Sany	138	-	287	8	149	8	
13	10	1257	Lari	759	-	1,035	-	276	-	
	11	1256		-	-	-	-	-	-	

14	12	1255	Temple	242	8	-	483	-	-	240	8	-
15	13	1269	Nen'undiah	207	-	-	345	-	-	138	-	-
16	14-15 & 16	1269	Vokaligar Siddiah	126	8	-	483	-	-	356	8	-
17	17	1267	Mallerna	379	8	-	517	8	0	138	-	-
18	18	1266	Chick Basaviah	103	8	-	201	4	-	97	12	-
19	19	1265	Geibsab	126	8	-	258	12	-	132	4	-
20	20	1264	Mada	103	8	-	150	-	-	46	8	-
21	21	1263	Tharkari Euss-einkhan	115	-	-	230	-	-	115	-	-
22	22	1279	Puttah	368	-	-	488	12	-	120	12	-
23	23	1278	Dodda son of Lakshmi	172	8	-	287	8	-	115	-	-
24	24	1277	Mohanswamy Modalliar	92	-	-	121	4	-	29	4	-
25	25	1276	Kladah	92	-	-	140	14	-	48	14	-
26	26	1275	Kama	115	-	-	235	12	-	120	12	-
27	27	1274	Chikkamary	345	-	-	465	12	-	120	12	-
28	28	1273	Marchy	149	8	-	230	-	-	80	8	-
29	-	1272	Basaviah	172	8	-	293	4	-	120	12	-
30	-	1271	Shady	161	-	-	201	4	-	41	4	-
31	-	-do-	Venkatah	264	8	-	345	-	-	60	8	-
			Dismantling etc.	6969	-	-	12110	15	-	5,141	15	-
			Total Rs	896	-	-	500	-	-	-	-	-
			Contingency	Rs 385	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			Total	Rs 6050	-	-	12610	15	-	-	-	-

For Chairman
(a) Ramamurthy 338

DO 1/2 52

CITY IMPROVEMENT TRUST BOARD
MYSORE

Dated 2nd April

REV 920
4864
5 APR 1933

My Dear Sir

24539
12/21/3

I beg to invite reference to my letter No 1150 dated the 17th March 1930 requesting sanction to the expenditure of Rs 12 010-15-0 for acquisition of properties near the Zoological Gardens in Block No 40 Ittigepud drainage area and to request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained in the matter early as the owners are urging for payment of compensation Many of the houses have been demolished and removed already and in ten cases orders of Government are awaited regarding the increase of compensation

Yours sincerely

Uthamalingam

To

N Madhava Rao Esquire B A B L

Chief Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

The previous reference has been sent to Law Secy for favour of opinion as whether Govt may revise the original Awards in Acquisition Cases Subd for orders if this may be sent also in confirmation

AS
9/4/30

for Secy) 7/4

29/4


Law Secy)

The written opinion was sent to LS 9 on 23/4/1930

5358
2/5/30

1 LC 1 AHHS

Ch	b	t	p
Re		Ad	



my store	11/17/30	20
TO basic language	17/35	
1 ord 1	11	

request early orders on my
letter 1150 of 17/1/30 in
acquiring houses now go

Please see this
too
2/5/30

= check main trust order 2/5/30

1 A R T

Subs -

The main file ml 69-29-70 (Deary nos
4539 + 5149) submitted to the Second Member
of Council for over - On 28 4 1930

2/5-30 AS

2nd Member)

2/5

Vide Member's orders
on Main file

2/5

Appendix FG-1

SUBJECT

--

Diary No of letter replied to 4539

General and Revenue Secretariat

Date of issue 2-5-30

Signed by M. K. S. D. P. U. D. R.

List of enclosures

Copied by H. C.

Examined by

Section ml

File No 69-28

Serial No 72

No

L 7910

To

The Chairman
Bureau of Land Management
Washington, D.C.
With reference
to correspondence, ending
with your letter no. 1150
dated the 17th March 1930
reporting that, owing to
some inaccurate source the
value of the land acquired
as per scheme filed
in B.O. No. 28408-9-1
ml 69-28-63 826 629
was estimated at Rs
instead of 1 Re per sq yd

over

as prescribed in the Office
business manual and
that in ten cases awards
at the rate of Rs 4 as a
sq 70 were passed -
that in the remaining
cases the rate awarded
is one rupee per sq 70
& request permission
to revise the awards
in the ten cases, so as
to allow a rate of Rs 1/-
per sq 70 in order to avoid
hardship, litigation &
delay, I am directed to

state that when once
an award is made under
Sec 11 of the L & Regn
- filed ^{in the office} under Sec 12, it
becomes final & conclusive
between the ~~parties~~ & the persons interested,
subject to the provisions
of Part III of the Regn, and
a ~~discrepancy~~ ^{award} cannot
be made ~~in the award~~ made
the parties interested have
to press for a reference

There is no provision in
the Regulation in
respect of award made
under Sec 11 of the L & Regn
which is to the advantage
of the party to be
compensated and the
Regn now provides that
the award made under
Sec 11 of the L & Regn
may be challenged by
the aggrieved party
as being not made in
accordance with the provisions
of the Regulation in that
respect.

G R S G/33]

• GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT

(Continuation sheet draft form)

to the Comt that has the jur
dis from to enhance the
Compensation Awarded
If a reference is made to
the Comt & the L A Officer
who will be Opponent
therein represents to the
Comt the mistake made
in the Award & moves the
Comt to grant an enhanced
Compensation, any 'hardship'
'litigation & delay' which
may otherwise be caused
will be to a great extent,
avoided

I have etc,

26/4 Gene Secy & Secy

21/5

21/5

23/4/30

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated Bangalore 2nd May - 1930

Immediate

No 2 7910
Mul 69-28-72

From

R RANGA RAO Esq B A B L

Offg Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

To

T G Rama Iyer, Esq, B A ,

Chairman, City Improvement Trust Board, Mysore

Sir

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No 1150 dated the 17th March 1930, reporting that owing to some inadvertance the value of the land acquired as per scheme sanctioned in Government Order No L 8408-9/Ml 69-28-63, dated 26th June 1929 was estimated at 4 annas instead of Rs 1/- per square yard as prescribed in the office business manual and that in ten cases awards at the rate of 4 annas a square yard were passed and that in the remaining cases the rate awarded is one rupee per square yard and requesting permission to revise the awards in the ten cases, so as to allow a rate of Rs 1/- per square yard in order to avoid hardship, litigation and delay, I am directed to state that there is no provision in the Regulation for revising an award once made even though such a revision is to the advantage of the party to be compensated and the owners of the properties may accept the enhanced rate of compensation. The acquisition is liable to be challenged by other claimant if any as ~~not~~ ^{one} not made in accordance with the provisions of the Regulation. In order to vest the property on the Board absolutely and free from encumbrance, the party interested have pressed for a reference to the Court that has the jurisdiction to enhance the compensation awarded. If a reference is made to the Court and the Land Acquisition Officer who will be opponent therein represents to the Court the mistake made in the award and moves the Court to grant an enhanced compensation, any hardship, litigation and delay which may otherwise be caused will be to a great extent avoided.

I have the honor to be,
Your most obedient servant,

(860) ddt
For General Secretary to Government

1 Lxx

No

14/14
10 5 30

Office of the Chairman
City Improvement Trust Board Mysore

10th May 1960

From

T G Rama Iyer Esquire B A

Chairman City Improvement Trust Board

Mysore

To

The General Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Bangalore

ml 69-28-72

Sir

With reference to your letter No L 7910/ml 69-28-72 date 2nd May 1960 stating that there is no provision in the Regulation for revising an award once made and that the parties interested have to press for a reference to Court etc. I have the honor to state that the parties in the ten cases in question are very poor people and it will cause great hardship to them if they are asked to go to Court for enhancement of compensation. Besides the time of six weeks prescribed in section 15 of the Land Acquisition Regulation for filing an application for reference to Court has expired as notices of award have been served on the parties so far back as December 1949 and January 1950. In these circumstances I request that the Government may be pleased to view the cases of these poor people sympathetically and order the payment of Rs. 2107/- as stated in paragraph 4 of my D O No 1252 dated 2nd April 1960 in addition to the amounts already awarded. In this case the original award need not be formally revised and the extra amount paid may be treated as additional compensation as in the case of persons whose houses were acquired for the Ayurvedic College (Vide Government Order No 34-6/Luz 1-28-2 dated 9th July 1958).

As the houses have to be removed before the ensuing Birth-day Festivities I request the favour of your obtaining the early

early sanction of Government in the matter

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

Udama
Chairman

17

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore

Read --

ml 69-28
ser - 74

Letter No L 7910/M1 69-28-72 dated 2nd

May 1930 to the Chairman City Improvement
Trust Board Mysore stating that the parties
connected with the award in the marginally
noted acquisition cases may be asked to apply
to the Court concerned for increasing the
rate of compensation awarded to them from
annas 4 to Rupee one for reasons stated

1 (4) 1281	6 (25) 1276
2 (1) 1284(a)	7 (23) 1278
3 (6) 1262	8 (26) 1275
4 (5) 1284	9 (7) 1261
5 (22) 1279	10 (27) 1274

2 Correspondence ending with letter
No 1414 dated 10th May 1930 from the Chairman,
Mysore City Improvement Trust Board solicit-
ing under the circumstances represented
sanction to the payment to the above parties
a sum of Rs 2 107/- in all by way of addi-
tional compensation in addition to the amount
already awarded the charge being met by re-
appropriation of sanctioned grants in the
current year's budget of the Mysore City
Improvement Trust Board

Order -- No L 84 30-1

Dated Bangalore the 19/5/30

Sanctioned as a special case

To

General Secretary to Government

The Chairman Mysore City Impt Trust Board
" Comptroller to Government

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

18

Read —

Letter No L 7910/Ml 69-28-72 dated 2nd May 1930 to the Chairman,
City Improvement Trust Board, Mysore, stating that the parties connect-

*	1	(4)	1281	6	(25)	1276
	2	(1)	1284(a)	7	(23)	1278
	3	(6)	1262	8	(26)	1275
	4	(5)	1284	9	(7)	1261
	5	(22)	1279	10	(27)	1274

ed with the award in the
*
marginally noted acquisi-
tion cases may be asked
to apply to the Court


concerned for increasing the rate of compensation awarded to them from
annas 4 to Rupee one for reasons stated

2 Correspondence ending with letter No 1414 dated 10th May 1930
from the Chairman, Mysore City Improvement Trust Board, soliciting
under the circumstances represented sanction to the payment to the
above parties a sum of Rs 2,107/- in all by way of additional com-
pensation, in addition to the amount already awarded, the charge being met by
by reappropriation of sanctioned grants in the current year's budget
of the Mysore City Improvement Trust Board

O r d e r -- No L 8430 / ml 69 28-74

Banalore, the 19th May 1930

Sanctioned, as a special case


For General Secretary to Government


10

The Chairman, Mysore City Improvement Trust Board
The Comptroller to Government